App. F. IX-4

1929-30 (CB)

File No. 17/4

Previous References
P.P. 68-28-6

Serial Nos. 1 to 6, 7, 8, 9, 10

Present

Later References
CB 17-4-11, 14, 22
CB 17-4-27, 28, 29, 30

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 1 to 6, 7, 8, 9, 10.

Part B for July 1930

SUBJECT

Engagement of the services of Mr. Mann Solicitor for preparing our case regarding fiscal matters for presentation to the Export Committee.

DEWAN'S OFFICE. 8. JUL 1929 No. 14

DEWAN'S MINUTE.

FILE No. 192 .

NOTE FOR CIRCULATION TO THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL

***

It appears to me very necessary that steps should be taken by us to prepare our case for presentation to the Expert Committee, which is likely to be appointed soon by the Government of India in accordance with the recommendations of the Butler Committee.

The case will have to be prepared very carefully and in a manner to appeal to the Committee. We stand to lose or gain much by their decision. I feel that a really first class man should be engaged for the purpose, a man with a wide and intimate knowledge of the whole problem, and one who is likely to do justice to it. I cannot think of a better man than Mr. Manu Subedar. I discussed the matter with him the other day in Bombay. He would expect a fee of Rs5000/- for the work. I attach his letter to me since received. The matter may be discussed at a Council Meeting.

Bangalore,)
8th July 1929.)

CB.

Circulate above

RB
8/7/29.

(For Disposal at a Meeting)

No. C. 7

1929-30.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Council Matter.

SUBJECT

(clause xii of the schedule)

Question of engaging the services of Mr. Manu Subedar for preparing our case for presentation to the Expert Committee which is likely to be appointed soon by the Government of India.

CIRCULATED TO THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.

By Order,

R. Rangaiah

8th July 1929.

Offg. *Chief Secretary to Government.*

194/8/7 **First Member of Council.** 8.7.29

Second Member of Council. 9/7/29

308/8/7 Third Member of Council.

Under the Agreement for Thursday

D2

(7)

Extract from the Proceedings of the 841st *Meeting of the Council of His Highness the Maharaja, held at Bangalore on the* 12th July 1929. *19* .

107. Subject. - Clause v of the Schedule.

Question of engaging the services of Mr. Manu Subedar for preparing our case for presentation to the Expert Committee which is likely to be appointed soon by the Government of India.

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

The services of Mr. Manu Subedar may be entertained for the preparation of our case.

(Sd.) Mirza M. Ismail,
Dewan of Mysore.

DEWAN'S OFFICE
16. JUL. 1929
No. 2174

"EXTRACT"

R. Rayer

Chief Secretary to Government.

WD 1471—GPB—2,000—1-3-21

CB.
DSN
RG
13/7/29.

D. S.N. put up. Phantom arranged RG 15/7
Dewan RG 15/7

Submitted to His Highness.

It is necessary that steps should be taken to prepare our case regarding our fiscal relations with the Government of India for presentation to the Expert Committee which is likely to be appointed soon by the Government of India in accordance with the recommendations of the Butler Committee. We stand to lose or gain much by their decision and the case will have to be prepared carefully and in a manner that would appeal to the Committee.

When I was in Bombay recently I heard that Mr. Manu Subedar, B.Sc., has had considerable experience of this kind and I had a talk with him on the matter. He seems well fitted for our purpose and will undertake to take up the work on a remuneration of Rs.5,000/-. This does not seem to be excessive considering the importance of the problems involved. A letter received from him is also put up.

The question was considered in Council on the 12th instant and the Council are in favour of engaging the services of Mr. Manu Subedar as proposed above.

I solicit the gracious approval of His Highness to the proposal.

Mirza M. Ismail

DEWAN.

Bangalore,
16th July 1929.

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

Engagement of the services of Mr. Manu Subedar for preparing our case regarding fiscal matters for presentation to the Expert Committee.

32

Order.

17/7/29

C. Secy
19-7-29

Rly 719.

General and Revenue Secretariat

C7.

Engagement of the Services of Mr. Manu Subedar for preparing our case regarding fiscal matters for presentation to the Expert Committee.

DEWAN'S OFFICE
26. JUL. 1929
No. 1202

(*)

31. All urgent or confidential files returned with His Highness' orders may in the absence of instructions or indications to the contrary, be ordinarily circulated to the Members of Council for perusal after the issue of orders.

33. The Secretaries concerned should see that orders in the cases referred to above are issued at once and that the files are returned immediately after to the Chief Secretary for circulation to the Members.

34. In all other cases, the files will first be circulated to the Members of Council at Headquarters and then sent to the Secretariat concerned for the issue of orders.

Circulated to Members of Council for perusal of His Highness' orders before/after issue of orders.* (*Vide* Rules 31, 33 and 34 of the Subsidiary Rules for the conduct of business of Council in the Manual of Council Rules and Procedure.)

R. Ranga Rau
Chief Secretary to Government.

Through the Secretary to Government,

.....Department.

468/19-7

FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 20/7/29

724/29-7

SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

785/29-7

THIRD MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 26/7/29

DD to Hon. 1st Secy 23/7/29.

NOTE.—Contn. sheet. E233

Dewan 25-7-29

Dewan 24/7/29

Dewan 25-7-29

General and Revenue Secretariat

CB File No. 17 — of 1929

Serial No.

To Mann Subedar Esq Bombay
604 d. 26.7.29
Intimates that the Mysore Govt propose to entrust him with the work of preparing their case regarding their fiscal relations with the Govt of India, & pays him a remuneration of Rs 5000. Enquires if he is willing.

From Mr. Mann Subedar
d. 29.7.29
Intimates acceptance of the offer and requests supply of papers on the subject. Says terms have to be revised if more than one visit be required

To —do— ———
D.O. d. 31.7.29
States his travelling expenses will be paid if more than one visit be requested

From Mr Mann Subedar
D. 2.8.29
Leaves question of addl remuneration if any to Dewan.

To Mr. Mann Subedar
No 590 d. 7.8.29
Sends a set of literature on the subject ——

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 192 .

Serial No.

From Mr Manu Subedar
Letter d. 12.8.29
Calls for certain further information

To — do —
Do 676 d. 16.8.29
Sends the publications required

Sus

From Mr Manu Subedar
D.O Letter d 30.5.30 - addressed to the Dewan re the preparation of the Financial Statement.
"Orders of the Dewan"
"Chief Secy."
d 5-6-30
Subd to Fin Member
(Sd) N.M.
Orders of the Fin Member
(Sd) M.N.K.
5/6

For orders if any action is necessary on this now
(Sd)
C.G.R.
9/6.
Chief Secy's order" Nothing just now
(Sd) N.M
9/6

From Mr Manu Subedar
D.O letter d 4.6.30
States that the Dewan has agreed to give him Rs 250 as part payment

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0324—GPB—60,000—24-5-27

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | CB File No. 17 of 1929.

Serial No.

and also submit a statement of expenses not covered by the arrangement contained in the letter D 31.7.29

S

According to the arrangement contained in the letter D. 26.7.29, a consolidated sum of Rs 5000 is payable to Mr Mann Subedar and all incidental charges are to be met by himself He agreed to these terms in his letter D 29.7.29 and it was later stipulated also that if he ~~travelled~~ paid more than one visit he would be paid his travelling expenses from Bombay & back, in addition.

Q

||

Y

The payment of an additional sum of Rs 157-8 being the charges on account of Hotel bills etc, is for orders. Subd by 11/6/30.

~~Da~~ The sum of Rs 5000 ~~as~~ was ~~fixed~~ fixed inclusive of all "incidental expenditure including clerical ~~Assistance~~ travelling expenses etc". ~~This~~ The hotel charges are ~~therefore~~ to be met by him perhaps. It is only if he makes a second journey, that ~~the~~ question of any further payment arises

A formal order sanctioning the expenditure and providing funds

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

DEWAN'S OFFICE
5279
13 JUN 1930

Serial No.

is necessary. Mr Subedar wants Rs 2500 now

Subd
CJC 11/6/30

A sum of Rs 2500 may be remitted to Mr Mann Subedar in part payment. He may be informed that the incidental expenses of the first visit are included in the total fee.

As regards the source from which the expenditure is to be met, a separate note will be submitted

First Member & Dewan

Secy. 11.6.30

new 12/6

12/6/30

new 13/6

13-6-30

Drafts new 14/6

2 Drafts subnd. (Under instructions from the Dewan arrangements were however made by us to post him up at West End Hotel)

CJC 15/6/30

Issue after formalities new 15/6

Mr. Cenc. C-1/24/2816/14/30 Secy Dy Secy

Dy Secy Chief Secretary Home Secy

15/6

CHIEF SECRETARY'S OFFICE
15515
11/6
CHIEF SECRETARY
11 JUNE 1930

OFFICE OF THE
12 JUNE 1930
No. 7455

H. H. (Cancelled file) 5338/0

Note—Contn. Sheet

CHIEF SECRETARY
14 JUNE 1930

WD 066—GPB—80,000—26-7-29

4243

General and Revenue Secretariat | CB File No. 17 | App. F. III—2] of 1929

Serial No. 6

To the Comptr & Govt Examr First Sty
No 4649 D 15-4-30
Sanctions the payment of Rs 2500 to Mr Mamu Subedar as part payment of his fees for tendering expert advice on certain matters relating to the Fiscal Relations of this Govt with the Govt of India the expenditure being met out of Anticipated Savings under 12 Gen. Admn.—4—Civil Secrets in the current years Budget.

Cy to C.B.

To Mr Mamu Subedar
D.O No 4650 D 15.6
states that the Comptr has been instructed to remit the sum of Rs 2500 & that the hotel expenses he should be payable only in case of a second trip in accordance with the terms agreed to by him.

B
17-6

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

4486
CB

General and Revenue Secretariat | CB File No. 17 | App. F. III—2] of 192 9

Serial No.

8 From the Comptroller
No A 1/772 Dated 28th June 1930.

R With reference GO No 4649/CB 17-29-6 D 15-6-30 according sanction to the payment of a sum of Rs 2,500 to Hr Manu Subedar in payment of his fees in tendering expert advice re certain fiscal matters, States that there are no savings under 12 Gen Admn & 4 Civil Sects, as the savings are re appropriated to contingencies & enquires the particular detailed head to which this may be debited & also the further liability in the matter.

W (Month Volume put up file not being readily available)
by 4/7

Subd with the previous references, Hr Orders (~~The Financial Secretary may be~~ requested to kindly point out some other source for meeting the item & also the appropriate head to which it may be conveniently ~~debited~~).

by 4/7

* Page 3 The Provisional Budget showed that a Savings of Rs 8100 was expected under 12 Gen Admn & 4 Civil Secretariats on the whole. As regards further details ~~under 4 Civil Sects~~, a Savings of about Rs 7000 under Salaries and of Rs 6000 under Establishment ~~was expected~~ and Rs 2000 under T.A. of Offices, was expected, against an excess of Rs 6500

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193

Serial No.

under contingencies & Rs 1000 under T.A. of Establishment. More up-to-date and accurate information is available in the Comptroller's office. The amount of Rs 2500 may possibly be met from Savings under Salaries.

The advice of the Fin Secy. may perhaps be sought re:

(i) detailed head from which the expre in the Service of may be met

(ii) the head to which it should be charged.

CHIEF SECRETARY. 5 JULY 1930

GPR 4/7/30 The savings under Salaries are sufficient to cover the expenditure. The total amount agreed to is Rs 5000 besides incidental charges of travel in the event of a second visit. The Comptroller may be informed accdly. The details how to which the expre is to be charged may be mutually settled by the Fin Dept.

expected

R 8-7

4/7/30

To Fin Secy, with Compts

MM

7/7

774

8/7

The provisional debit of the Comptroller may be approved

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 02328—GPB—10,000—22-4-30

4486

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193

Serial No.

X774

R87

and the charge debited to Contin Civil Sects Contingencies. As the year has come to a close and it is too late to sanction a reappropriation, the Comptroller's reference may be recorded with the observation that on the whole there is not likely to be an excess under 2-4 Civil Secretariats (vide Revised figures p. 30-31). But a saving also be in this case to the total additional liability of Rs 2500 though a few hundreds more is contemplated.

8.7.30

I agree with the Chief Secy. The expenditure may be charged to Sects. Contingencies, if the Comptroller has no objection.

9.7.30

Draft reply submd

12/7/30

Chief Secy

CHIEF SECRETARY. 14 JULY 1930

1417

To the Comptroller

No 35-S dt. 15.7.30

Informs him that the sum of Rs 2500 may be debited 12 C. Adm. 4 Civil Sects Contingencies & that savings are expected under 4 Civil Sects - Salaries & that a further sum of Rs 2500 (with travelling expenses if payable in case he pays a second visit) is payable as Rem.

1617

Note—Contn. Sheet

Telegrams:—"PENLOCOTIV"
Telephone: 24667.

KODAK HOUSE.
HORNBY ROAD, FORT,

BOMBAY, 3rd July 1929

My dear Dewansaheb,

Referring to the suggestion which was made with regard to a close examination of the position of Mysore and the economic adjustments and the relationship between the Mysore State and the Paramount Power, may I make a request. If the proposal is going through, then it would be an advantage to make available to me confidentially such notes and papers on this subject as had been prepared for and submitted to the Butler Committee and also the latest Budget statement of the State with a brief account of the growth of each important head under revenue and of each important head under expenditure. A copy of the Administration Report of Mysore would also help. These preliminaries would enable me to give thought to the subject prior to my proposed visit to Bangalore. I make the request only as a convenience provided you think it proper and you fully approve of it.

I beg to remain,

Yours sincerely,

Mann Subedar

Amin-ul-Mulk Mirza Mahomed Ismail, Esq.,C.I.E.,

Dewansaheb of Mysore State.

404/C.B.17-4-1

Dy 26/7/29

Dear Sir,

With reference to your conversation with the Dewan of Mysore during his last visit to Bombay and your letter dated the 3rd July 1929, I am desired to inform you that the Mysore Government propose to entrust you with the task of preparing their case regarding their fiscal relations with the Government of India for presentation to the Expert Committee which is likely to be appointed by the Government of India in accordance with the recommendations of the Indian States Committee. You will be paid a consolidated sum of Rs.5,000/- for this work. All incidental expenditure including clerical assistance, travelling expenses etc will be met by yourself.

Please let me know very early whether you are agreeable to undertake the work on the terms proposed. On receipt of your reply, I shall arrange to send you a set of the literature bearing on the subject.

Yours sincerely,

RB
25/7/29

Manu Subedar, Esqr.,
Kodak House, Hornby Road,
Fort, Bombay.

Sub.

Law -

Telegrams:—"PENLOCOTIV"
Telephone: 24667.

KODAK HOUSE.
HORNBY ROAD, FORT,

Rg
31/7/29.

BOMBAY, 29th July 1929.

Dewan

CHIEF SECRETARY.
31 JULY 1929

R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
Officiating Chief Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Dear Sir,

I beg to acknowledge your letter dated 26th instant and have to inform you that I have noted the terms offered in your letter as remuneration for preparing the case for Mysore Government regarding their fiscal relations with the Government of India for presentation to the Expert Committee, which the Government of India is going to appoint in pursuance of the recommendations of the Indian States Committee. I have to inform you that I accept these terms.

May I mention that I do not contemplate more than one visit for this work and that after receipt of the literature which you are sending me, and after the necessary consultations with your Financial and other Officers, I shall be able to give you a final draft after one visit. Should, however, the Mysore State call upon me to pay a second visit in this connection, the terms of remuneration would have to be revised. I am willing to leave this revision to the absolute discretion of the Dewansaheb, which please note.

Only fraction -

Dewan letter Rg 31/7/29

After receipt of the literature, which you are sending me, I shall apprise you with any further heads under which information will be desirable. I shall be, therefore, obliged if you will let me know whether correspondence in connection with this work should be carried on with yourself in future.

I beg to remain,
Yours faithfully,
Mann Ambedkar

No 498.
31.7.29

Dear Sir,

I am in receipt of your letter ~~dated~~ dated 29th July telling me that you are willing to prepare the case for Mysore Government regarding their fiscal relations with the Govt. of India on a remuneration of Rs.5,000/- Govt. note that you expect to finish your work in one visit. I am to add, however, that should ~~if~~ more than one visit ~~should~~ become necessary, you will be paid ~~only~~ your travelling expenses from Bombay to here and back. I shall shortly send you a set of literature available here.

The Dewan will be leaving this about the 5th Aug. for Simla and may spend a few hours at Bombay on his way. If you can manage it, I would suggest your seeing him at Bombay.

Yours sincerely,

RG
31/7/29

Offg. Chief Secy.

R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Offg. Chief Secretary.
D. O. No. 498/CB.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Dated, Bangalore, 31st July 1929.

Dear Sir,

I am in receipt of your letter dated 29th July 1929 telling me that you are willing to prepare the case for the Mysore Government regarding their fiscal relations with the Government of India on a remuneration of Rs.5,000/- Government note that you expect to finish your work in one visit. I am to add, however, that should more than one visit become necessary, you will be paid your travelling expenses from Bombay to here and back.

I shall shortly send you a set of the literature available here.

The Dewan will be leaving this about the 5th August for Simla and may spend a few hours at Bombay on his way. If you can manage it, I would suggest your seeing him at Bombay.

Yours sincerely,

RG
31/7/29.

To

Manu Subedar, Esqr.,
Kodak House, Hornby Road,
Fort, B o m b a y.

Telegrams:—"PENLOCOTIV"
Telephone: 24667.

KODAK HOUSE.
HORNBY ROAD, FORT,

BOMBAY, 2nd August 1929.

CB-573

CB.17-29-2

CB
6/8/29

B. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Offg. Chief Secretary,
General and Revenue Secretariat,
Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Dear Sir,

I beg to acknowledge your letter dated 31st July 1929.

There is only one very small point, which is not clear, and, that is, that in the event of the Mysore Government insisting on the second visit, the terms of remuneration will have to be revised not merely to cover travelling expenses as mentioned in your letter, but also to cover the substantive labour. I have, however, stated in my last letter that with respect to this additional amount, I shall accept the decision of the Dewan.

As desired, I shall be glad to see the Dewansaheb when he is passing through Bombay, and I shall be very much obliged if you will let me know when he is expected to reach here.

Yours faithfully,
Manu Subedar

AS

Seen by Dewan Mavlicar

RR
6/8/29.

Raj Telegram.

T o

Manu Subedar, Esquire,
PENLOCOTIV,
Bombay.

DEWAN LEAVING TONIGHT ARRIVE BOMBAY SEVENTH MORNING.

-- CHIEF SECRETARY --

From

Offg. Chief Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore.

Bangalore, 5th Aug. 1929.

POSTS TELEGRAPHS. No. 90

18/8

7 AUG 29

O. MK COLABA B B 7 STE 15

CHISEC BANGALORE

YOU MAKE NOW SEND PAPERS

Aug 2

TO MR SUBEDAR

DEWAN

MS 15/8/69

This form must accompany any enquiry respecting this telegram.

590/C.B.17-9 dt 7/8/29

Packet sent by Regd post

Encl 1 cir & 1 booklet containing 12 books

To

Manu Subedar, Esq.,

Kodak House, Hornby Road,

Fort, Bombay.

Dear Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 2nd instant I am desired to forward herewith a set of literature as per list enclosed to enable you to prepare the case of the Mysore Government regarding their fiscal relations with the Government of India for presentation to the Expert Committee likely to be appointed by the Government of India.

Yours sincerely,

7/8/29

Chief Secy. to Govt.

P.S.- These papers may kindly be returned when done with.

List.

1. Replies of the Government of Mysore to the Questionaire by the Indian States Committee.

2. Collection of papers re: the Indian Fincal Commission and correspondence relating thereto.

3. Compilation of papers re: liability of the Government of Mysore to pay income-tax Part I.

4. Compilation of papers re: the introduction of the Income-tax Regulation in Mysore - Part II.

5. Collection of papers re: transfer of the Mysore Anche Department to the Government of India.

6. Compilation of papers relating to the use of one anna receipt stamps in the Civil and Military Station and reimbursement for the loss of revenue caused during the perbd the unified postage stamps were in use in the Station.

7. Collection of papers relating to Treaty Rights of Mysore leading up to the list of 23 points drawn up by the Government of India.

8. Collection of papers relating to the claim preferred by Government in respect of interest on investments of paper currency and gold standard reserve.

9. Papers relating to the informal meeting of ministers held at Bikaner in August 1926.

10. Report of the Butler Committee.

11. Reply of the Mysore Government to the Government of India on certain points referred to in the Butler Committee Report.

12. Papers regarding the jurisdiction over Railway Lands in Mysore

OFFG:CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

CB 697.

TELEGRAMS:-"PENLOCOTIV."
TELEPHONE:- 24667.

KODAK HOUSE,
HORNBY ROAD, Fort.
CB 29-3
BOMBAY, 12th August 1929

SECRETARY
14 AUG. 1929

B. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
Offg. Chief Secretary,
General & Revenue Secretariat,
Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Dear Sir,

I acknowledge receipt of your letter dated 8th instant with the enclosed papers as per your list.

In order to correctly ascertain the situation it would be necessary for me to get hold of the finances of the State including indebtedness outside as well as capital operations that have taken place. I shall, therefore, be obliged if you will send me at your earliest convenience

1. Administrative Report,
2. Financial Statement (latest), and
3. Any Memorandum in possession of the Finance Department dealing with various heads of Revenue and Expenditure over a certain number of years, particularly reaching down to some pre-war year.

between Mysore Govt. and British Govt.

I would also like the various agreements referred to in the papers in reply to the Butler Committee in extenso, or, where it is not practicable to send down the volumes, please give reference only, but, if they are in a handy collection, I should like to have the use of them.

Yours faithfully,
Manu Subedar

Copy sent RG 824
CR
MSR

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Subd
The Admy Reports
& the Treaties are being
collected. In the mean
time Fritz asks - may
requested to - print as
the latest - Foin Statement
and the memo refd to -

N.B.—**Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.**

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Against items 2 and
in ~~of~~ last letter.

9/1 15/8
MW

RG
15/8

Brazil - April - 9d/2

9/1 16/8
MW

RG
16/8 29

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

676/C.B

Encs 6 ✓ 16/8/29

ISSUED.

To

Manu Subedar Esq.,

Kodak House, Hornby Road,

Fort, Bombay.

Dear Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 12th instant I am desired to forward herewith the following ~~literature:-~~ papers:—

(1) Administration Report of the State for the year 1927-28.

(2) Financial Review and Forecast commencing with 1909-10 and ending with 1917-18.

(3) Statistics compiled from the Finance and Revenue Accounts from 1st July 1918 to 30th June 1928.

(4) Budget Estimates of Revenue and Expenditure for 1929-30.

(5) Explanatory Memorandum on the Budget Estimates for 1929-30.

(6) Mysore State Papers Volume I containing treaties and engagements referred to in the replies of the Government of Mysore to the questionnaire by the Indian States Committee.

(The agreement with the Madras Government referred to in the reply to question No.14 not included in the compilation will follow)

Yours sincerely,

16/8/29

Offg:Chief Secretary to Govt

B.K.M.

CB-1870

TELEGRAMS:-"PENLOCOTIV."
TELEPHONE:- 24667.

KODAK HOUSE,
HORNBY ROAD, FORT,
BOMBAY, 5th Novr. 1929

My dear Dewansaheb,

Since I met you last I have been in communication with the Revenue Secretary and have through him secured the necessary papers, which would enable me to take in the condition of Mysore finances generally. I have ever since wanted to come over, but was waiting in order to see whether the terms of reference of the Expert Enquiry would come out, even informally in any authoritative statement from anybody. This has not happened and, as far as I can foresee at present, the appointment of the Committee may not be made till after the Simon Commission's report is out and after the proposed Round Table Conference takes place. I should be obliged if you will let me know whether you have heard anything bearing on this subject, or seen any indication as to the time when the Committee may come to be appointed, or the terms of reference which they may have to consider.

I should like to come over to Bangalore at a time when I can have the opportunity of long interviews both with yourself and with some of the leading officials, in order to prepare the foundation of the case which would ultimately go to the Committee. I should like to leave it to you to fix the time when I should come there to suit your convenience.

May I convey once again that I am very keen to make first hand acquaintance with various economic problems affecting Mysore and to render assistance in every direction possible.

Yours sincerely,
Mann Subedar

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
14 NOV 1929
No. 1050

Dear Mr.Manu Subedar,

Your letter dated the 5th instant.

I think it will do nicely. You can arrange to come over here sometime about the middle of January 1930. Just now we are busy with the Viceregal visit. Next month we have a meeting of the Legislative Council. We will be comparatively free in January. Further, we may know something more definite about the intentions of the Government of India by that time.

Yours sincerely,

(Dewan.)

Dewan

No. 1895

14th November 1929

Dear Mr. Nanu Subddar,

Your letter dated the 5th instant.

I think it will do nicely if you can arrange to come over here some time about the middle of January 1930. Just now we are busy with the Viceregal visit and next month we have a meeting of the Legislative Council. We will be comparatively free in January. Further, we may know something more definite about the intentions of the Government of India by that time.

Yours sincerely,

Sd M. M. L.

D-01234
Sub-one.

Park House,
Mysore, 1st January 1930.

My dear Mirza,

I enclose copy of a letter from Gauntlett to the effect that the Chamber of Princes is to be moved next month to approve of his appointment to prepare their case for the Financial Committee, and that, even if Mysore elects to prepare her own case, it would be as well that the two cases should, so far as possible, follow similar lines, and asking for a copy of our case before the Butler Committee.

May I send him a copy of the reply to the questionnaire and the volume of evidence? I think you will have no objection to this.

It will be a very good thing if he is appointed to prepare the Chamber's case. The fact of his appointment for the purpose will be a guarantee that the case will be argued on sound lines and without exaggeration.

I don't know how far, if at all, you are pledged to Subedar, but if you are not committed, it might be

worth while to consider whether, even if you don't join the Chamber in their representation, you would not do well to employ Gauntlett to prepare your case. You could not have a better man, and there is no doubt a great deal of truth in his statement that two cases put up on similar lines would have a much better chance of success than two that could be used as arguments one against the other.

I am intruding with this on your holiday as I think you may possibly like to talk it over with the authorities at Trivandrum.

Yours sincerely,

C Gauntlett

Letter dated the 28th December 1929 from Sir M.Frederick Gauntlett,K.B.E.,K.C.I.E.,I.C.S.,Auditor-General,Finance Department,Maiden's Hotel,Delhi, to the Private Secretary to H.H.the Maharaja of Mysore,Mysore.

At the next meeting of the Chamber of Princes in February 1930 they will be asked to agree to my being invited to prepare the economic case of the Chamber of Princes against the Government of India in the event of a Committee being appointed to investigate that case. Meanwhile, Haksar is placing at my disposal the material which he accumulated for preparation of his memorandum in connection with the Buttle Commission.

I suggest that, even if Mysore decide to prepare its own case for presentation to that Committee, it is important that the Mysore case and the case of the Chamber of Princes should be prepared, as far as possible, on similar lines. It would, therefore, be of great use to me if you could send me a copy of the case prepared by Mysore for presentation to the Butler Commission which no doubt dealt with economic as well as other questions. If I may have it will you kindly send it to my home address.- Stuartfield, Ellesmere,Road, Weybridge.

Wishing you and Lady Todhunter a happy New Year,

13th January 1930

No 2846/C6

Dear Mr.Manu Subedar,

In continuation of Dewan's demi-official letter No. 1895 dated the 14th November 1929 suggesting that you might come over here some time this month, I am desired to request that you may kindly make it convenient to be here as early as possible.

The Dewan is leaving for Hyderabad to-morrow morning and will be back within a week.

Yours sincerely,

MM

To

Manu Subedar Esq.,

Kodak House, Hornby Road,

Fort, Bombay.

ISSUED

2854

CB

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
21 JAN. 1930
No. 3121

15th January xxxx 1930
16

Dear Sir Charles,

I am desired to acknowledge the receipt of your D. O. letter No.1234 dated the 2nd January 1930 addressed to the Dewan, in which you suggest that Sir M. Frederic Gauntlett might be employed to prepare our case for presentation to the Financial Committee. The suggestion was informally discussed last Monday between the Dewan and Members of Council, who were all inclined to the view that as the work has already been entrusted to Mr. Manu Subedar, it is not desirable now to disturb that arrangement.

Besides, as our case differs in important respects from that of other Indian States, it may not be expedient to entrust its preparation to the Agency that the Chamber of Princes proposes to employ. There is no objection however to our exchanging views with Sir Frederick Gauntlett when his memorandum for the Chamber of Princes and our own are nearly ready, so that we may avoid, as far as possible, working at cross purposes. A copy of our replies to the Questionnaire of the Indian States Committee, together with the volume of evidence may be sent to him.

The Dewan left with me your letter to reply as above, as he was leaving for Hyderabad on the morning following the Council meeting.

Yours sincerely,

To

Sir Charles Todhunter, K.C.S.I.,

Private Secretary to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Subs for perusal

Dewan)

ISSUED.

2854/C.B. 57 16/1/1930

To

Sir Charles Todhunter, K.C.S.I.,
Private Secretary to His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

...

Dear Sir Charles,

Issue now 15/1

I am desired to acknowledge the receipt of your D.O. letter No.1234 dated the 2nd January 1930 addressed to the Dewan, in which you suggest that Sir M. Frederic Gauntlett, might be employed to prepare our case for presentation to the Financial Committee. The suggestion was informally discussed last Monday between the Dewan and Members of Council who were all inclined to the view that as the ~~case~~ work has already been entrusted to Mr. Manu Subedar, it is not desirable now to disturb that arrangement ~~now~~.

Besides, as our case differs in important respects from that of other Indian States, it may not be expedient to ~~place the~~ entrust the preparation ~~of our case with~~ to the Agency that the Chamber of Princes proposes to employ. There is no objection however to our exchanging views with Sir Frederick Gauntlett when his memorandum for the Chamber of Princes and our own are nearly ready, so that we may avoid as far as possible working at cross purposes. ~~You may kindly inform Sir Frederic accordingly and send him~~ Sir Frederick might be informed accordingly and a copy of our replies to the Questionnaire of the Indian States ~~Enquiry~~ Committee, together

with the volume of evidence. May ~~might also be sent~~ to him.

The Dewan left with me your letter to reply as above, as he was leaving for Hyderabad on the morning following the Council meeting.

Yours sincerely,

Chief Secretary.

Mill 15/1/30

TELEGRAMS:-"PENLOCOTIV."
TELEPHONE:- 24667.

KODAK HOUSE,
HORNBY ROAD, FORT,
BOMBAY, 16th Jany. 1930.

DEWAN'S OFFICE
21 JAN 1930

C.B. 2745

No. 312

My dear Mr. Madhava Rau,

Many thanks for your letter dated 13th instant. I have written to the Dewansaheb under separate cover to-day, and I would deem it a favour if you will ascertain his wishes whether I should come over as early as possible and whether it would be suitable if I left here on the 23rd.

I had the pleasure of seeing something of you here in Bombay many years ago and I am very happy that you are now the Chief Secretary. I am looking forward very much to my visit to Bangalore, which will enable me to renew my friendship with you.

Yours sincerely,
Manu Subedar

N. Madhava Rau, Esq.,
Chief Secretary to the
Govt. Of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Submitted,

Mr. Edwards letter to the Dewan & a copy of two letters telegram have been sent to the Chief Secy this morning

21/1

21.1.80

21/1

m.551
cont

C. Secy -
with Copy of letter
Seal & Mr S.
for information

TELEGRAMS:-"PENLOCOTIV."
TELEPHONE:- 24667.

KODAK HOUSE,
HORNBY ROAD, FORT,

BOMBAY, 16th January 19 30

CB. 2758

19-1-30

My dear Dewansaheb,

I spent the first week of January in Delhi and had conversations on various subjects with various people. Amongst others I had a talk with the Finance Member and it would appear that there were no immediate prospects of the Expert Committee recommended by the Butler Committee being appointed. Ever since the expansion of the terms of the Simon Commission and the proposed participation of the Princes in the Round Table Conference, everybody is awaiting the outcome of these two. The opinion of those Princes who are active in the Chamber, as far as can be gauged, appears to be not to have any decision taken until their political status has been fixed. I consider this a mistake. British India has nothing to lose in money with the passing of a couple of years more, but, the programme, which appears to have been fixed, is that the Simon Commission will report in April, the Round Table Conference will sit sometime in the beginning of the next cold season, i.e. in October or November, and the Expert Committee may not be appointed till the close of 1930 or the beginning of 1931.

With this anticipation and without the terms of reference, if I were to give a final shape to a representation, it would not be satisfactory. Under the circumstances I propose that I shall use this time to study the situation outside as well as the financial organization of your State. I am expecting to have abundant opportunity for this purpose

as Sir B. N. Mitra, the Chairman of the Central Banking Enquiry Committee, has decided to have the sittings of that Committee at Bangalore. These sittings would commence from the 1st of May.

It is also expected that in connection with my business I might go to Europe some time in February and return after two months, which will also give me an opportunity to gather some new facts useful to us.

Under the circumstances I was proposing to postpone the visit to Mysore, but I have a letter from Mr. Madhava Rau indicating your desire that I should come over as early as possible after your return from Hyderabad, i.e. after the 21st. If so, I would be able to start on the 23rd, on which day I have to dispose off an important Company meeting. I shall be much obliged if you will give instructions for a message to be sent to me.

I beg to remain,

Yours sincerely,

Mann Subedar

Mirza Sir Mohamed Ismail, Kt.,C.I.E.,
Dewansaheb of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Issued 21-1-30

Wire to Mr. Manu Subedar, Kodak House, Hornby Road, Bombay.

***

Penlocotiv

Bombay

Your letter of 16th just received. Of course I quite agree with you. You need not come till May.

Mirzaismail
21-1-30

"Copy"

D.O.1306.

KARAPUR CAMP,

17th January 1930.
18

CB-2737

CB Early 1911

Dear Mr. Madhava Rao,

Many thanks for your d.o.letter No.2854/CB of the 16th instant regarding an exchange of views with Sir Frederic Gauntlett in the matter of the case for presentation to the Financial Committee.

As I have no spare copies of the Replies to the Questionnaire of the Indian States Committee or of the volume of ~~the~~ evidence, I shall be much obliged if you will kindly send me the same.

Yours sincerely,

G Gauntlett

20th January 1930

294/C.B
Encl 2

Dear Sir Charles,

With reference to your D. O. letter No.1306 dated the 18th instant, I am desired to forward herewith a copy of the Replies to the Questionnaire of the Indian States Committee together with the volume of evidence.

Yours sincerely,

To

Sir Charles Todhunter, K.C.S.I.,
Private Secretary to His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore.

2935/C.B. 23/1/1930

ISSUED.

Dear Mr. Manu Subedar,

I am in receipt of your letter of the 16th instant and wish to thank you for the friendly sentiments which you have expressed about me. I note, from the telegram sent to you in reply to your letter to the Dewan that you are not likely to come here until May next. I shall look forward to the pleasure of meeting you then and renew our acquaintance.

Yours sincerely,

Manu Subedar, Esqr.,
Kodak House, Hornby Road,
Fort, Bombay.

To

enlacotiv

Bombay.

4378/C.B. 27/3/30

Dear Mr. Manu Subedar

I am desired to invite your ~~attention~~ reference to the Dewan's telegram dated 21st Jany. 30 intimating (in reply to your letter of the 16th idem) that you need not come here till May, and to enquire when you expect to be here.

~~A reply by telegram will oblige.~~

yours Sincerely

Manu Subedar Esq

Kodak House

Hornby Road

Fort, Bombay

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL
5 JUNE 1930
No. 1368

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
4 JUN 1930

CB-4211

CB 17-29-4

Bangalore, 30th May, 1930.

Dear Sir Mirza,

During the last three days I have had consultations with the First Member of the Council, the General Secretary and the Financial Secretary. They have very kindly supplied all the information that I requisitioned, and we have practically gone over the whole ground with the exception of the nature of Imperial obligations and Central subjects, towards which the States could possibly be called upon to contribute. This is what will be discussed to-morrow.

In the meanwhile Mr. Krishna Rao agrees with me that it would be hazardous to prepare a statement of the case until the terms of reference of the Committee are published, the personnel is known, the questionnaire is in our hand, and, beyond this questionnaire, some general indication of what is included in Imperial obligations and the standard of contribution expected is made by the Committee. I would go further and say that it would be also important for us to know what line leading States like Hyderabad are taking, and also what line the Committee of the Princes, who will be the first to go before the Committee, take through their Directorate.

So much circumspection is called for, because the problem is complicated and it would be dangerous tomake any admissions. Making some

admissions would be unavoidableaat a certain stage, but, as it appears, that Mysore has a choice of taking one or the other position, it may be wise to wait.

It would further appear that the outcome of the Financial Relations Expert Committee would be either to make the British Government liable for payment to Indian States, or make Indian States liable for payment to British Government. Both parties, while seeking their own interests, will try to avoid the other alternative, but neither party, speaking as a whole for Indian States, isein a flourishing financial condition and can make good large sums suddenly. The British Indian finance is certainly not in a position to release anything substantial to the States, and will not be ror the next three years as far as I can foresee.

The matter is perhaps not clearly realised yet by either party. But the realisation must dawn on them very soon, and when that happens, a waiting game is likely to be played. I should not be much surprised if, therefore, either the Committee is not appointed soon, or its proceedings are unduly protracted, perhaps over three or four years. It is also possible that the proceedings may be stayed at a certain stage in order to see a final decision with regard to the financial relation of the Provinces with the Central Government.

If yourself and your colleagues on the Council are of opinion that this is a fair

anticipation, the question, which would still need decision, is whether you propose independently to tackle the issue of subsidy. Mysore can do this, but they will have to give an assurance when the standard of contribution comes to be fixed either as from Provinces to the Central Government, or as from Indian States to the Central Government, that they will not be unwilling to have their position adjusted on the common basis. If this common basis is the indirect contributions now being given as in the case of Provinces (with the exception of the income tax in the case of Mysore), then Mysore can claim that both the outlay on military (Rs.18 1/2 lakhs) and the subsidy are unnecessary. If it is proposed, however, not to disturb the former until the question in its application to all-India is considered, the subsidy is certainly redundant. It makes the Mysore contribution unnecessarily large as compared with Indian States as well as Provinces. It is a burdensome exaction on the population of Mysore, and whichever way decisions are taken ultimately, it will have to come off.

Such, briefly, can be the line of a representation. The obvious reply of Government would be that, while they are willing to consider the points raised, they think it would be advisable to await the Expert Committee, which they propose to appoint. It would be then necessary to argue that the question of the subsidy was not a proper question for the

Committee to settle, that the Committee's work dealing with so many States was likely to extend over a long period, and that immediate financial exigencies of Mysore rendered it necessary to secure an early decision on this point. It would also be possible to argue that with substantial reforms the subsidy as a contribution from Mysore to British India would become politically anomalous.

I would point out an adverse consideration against the policy of taking up this issue on these lines, viz. that the indirect contribution of Mysore has been increasing. It was computed at Rs.50 lakhs at one time, and in a recent computation it has been put forward at Rs.100 lakhs. It might go up still further, and once Mysore accepts the position of the same treatment as the British Provinces, it would be difficult to recede from it afterwards. All the taxable capacity of Mysore would in this event be at the disposal of the Central Government. Also if the Central Government impose further taxes in the form of succession duty or duty on tobacco, or any other new taxes, they would have to be imposed at the same rate in Mysore and the yield handed over.

The economic issues are quite clear. What is advisable to do on political grounds and in the general interests of Mysore, is for you to determine, but, if it is desired that a representation on the subsidy be in

the interval sent on these lines, I shall be glad to submit a draft.

Yours sincerely,
Manu Subedar

Amin-ul-Mulk Sir Mirza M. Ismail, Kt.,
C.I.E., O.B.E.

TELEGRAMS:-"PENLOCOTIV
TELEPHONE:- 24667.

KODAK HOUSE,
HORNBY ROAD, FORT,
BOMBAY 4th June 1930

C.B.

CB 4243.

CB 17-29-5

The Chief Secretary,
Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Dear Sir,

With reference to my visit to Mysore, I have requested the Dewansaheb to let me have as part payment the sum of Rs.2,500/-, and he has agreed thereto. I should be, therefore, obliged if you will send an early remittance.

I am further submitting a statement of expenses not covered by the arrangement contained in your letter No. 498/C.B., dated 31st July 1929, and my reply thereto dated 2nd August 1929.

Yours faithfully,
Mann Subedar

Encl.

CS

Proceedings of the Government of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore.

Order No. 4649 / CS 17-29-6

Dated, 15th June 1930.

Sanction is accorded to the payment of a sum of Rs.2,500/- to Mr. Manu Subedar Kodak House, Hornby Road, Fort, Bombay, as part payment of his fees for tendering expert advice on certain matters relating to the fiscal relations of the Mysore Government with the Government of India.

The Comptroller is requested to arrange for remitting the amount to Mr. Manu Subedar

The expenditure may be met out of the anticipated savings under "12 General Administration - 4 Civil Secretariats" in the budget of the current year.

Chief Secretary to
Government.

To

The Comptroller to Government through
the financial Secretariat.

Sent — Copy to Office Branch.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

XXXX
Read:—

Order No. 4649/C.B.17-29-6

Dated, Camp. Mysore 15th June 1930.

Sanction is accorded to the payment of a sum of Rs.2,500/- to Mr. Manu Subedar, Kodak House, Hornby Road, Fort, Bombay, as part payment of his fees for tendering expert advice on certain matters relating to the fiscal relations of the Mysore Government with the Government of India.

2. The Comptroller is requested to arrange for remitting the amount to Mr. Manu Subedar.

3. The expenditure may be met out of the anticipated savings under "12 General Administration - 4 Civil Secretariats" in the budget of the current year.

K. Devadan
for Chief Secretary to Government

To

The Comptroller to Government, through the Financial Secretariat.

4650/C.B.17-29

Encs

07/5/6/30

Dear Sir,

With reference to your letter dated 4th June 1930 I am desired to state that the Comptroller has been instructed to remit to you a sum of Rs.2,500/- in part payment of your fees.

Regarding the hotel expenses, etc., I am to invite your reference to Mr. Ranga Rao's letter No.404/C.B. 17-29-1, dated 26th July 1929 in which a consolidated sum of Rs.5,000/- was offered for your services in this connection and it was mentioned that "all incidental expenditure, including clerical assistance, travelling expenses, etc." must be met by yourself. In your letter dated 29th idem you intimated acceptance of the above terms. The question of payment of such expenses would, therefore, arise only in connection with a second trip. I trust you would agree that this is in accordance with our understanding. I am herewith returning the bills received with your letter under advertance.

Yours sincerely,

To

Manu Subedar, Esq.,

Kodak House, Hornby Road, Fort,

Bombay.

6650/C.B.17-29
Encls- 4/15/6/30

Dear Sir,

With reference to your letter dated 4th June 1930, I am desired to state that the Comptroller has been instructed to remit to you a sum of Rs.2,500/- in ~~as~~ part payment of your fee.

Regarding the hotel expenses, etc., I am to invite your reference to Mr. Ranga Rao's letter No.404/C.B. 17-29-1 dated 26th July 1929 in which a consolidated sum of Rs.5,000/- was offered for your services in this connection and it was ~~clearly~~ mentioned that "all incidental expenditure, including clerical assistance travelling expenses, etc.," must be met by yourself.

In your letter, dated 29th idem you intimated acceptance of the above terms ~~and~~ The question of ~~any further~~ payment ~~On account~~ of such expenses, would ~~only~~ Merely arise only in connection with a second trip. I trust you would agree Mark this in accordance with our understanding. I am herewith returning

Under the circumstances the bills received with your letter under advertance ~~have to be paid by you and are therefore returned herewith.~~

Yours sincerely

To

Manu Subedar, Esq.,
Kodak House, Hornby Road,
Fort, Bombay.

TELEGRAMS:-"PENLOCOTIV
TELEPHONE:- 24667.

C.B. Please see to the necessary action & reply

KODAK HOUSE,
HORNBY ROAD, FORT,
BOMBAY, 27th June 1930

C.S. 17-29-7
CB 4459

My dear Madhava Rao,

I acknowledge your letter dated 15th instant, and note that, according to the interpretation, which you are putting on the arrangement made, the hotel bills are not payable by the State.

I also noted that you had given instructions to the Comptroller to remit to me the sum of Rs.2,500/- in part payment of the fees. I regret to say that no such remittance has been received, though I have been anxiously expecting it. May I, therefore, request you to ask them to make the remittance and to pass on to them the accompanying receipt for the same.

Yours sincerely,
Manu Subedar

N. Madhava Rao, Esq.,
Chief Secretary to Government, of Mysore,
Bangalore.

CS

The Comptroller has issued a cheque to Mr Manu Subedar on the Imperial Bank of India (-Bombay).

Draft Submd. (It is doubtful whether the Comptroller will accept this advance receipt sent by Mr Subedar)

1.7.30

Chief Secy.

CHIEF SECRETARY.
1 JULY 1930

1/7.

KODAK HOUSE,
HORNBY ROAD, FORT
BOMBAY.

MAY 1930

General and Revenue Secretariat.	File No.	of 192 .
	Serial No.	

T. A. D. 58.

In reply please quote No. 1453.

CB. 4473

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER,
MYSORE GOVERNMENT.

Bangalore, dated the 27th June 193.0.

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE
N.T. 13038
27 JUN. 1930
PAY SECTION

Memorandum of Payments To Firms arranged by means of Bank Cheques.

As requested by The chief secretary in his No. 4449 C.O 17-29-6 dated 15th June 1930.

Name and Address in full of Payee Mr Manu Subedar, Kodak House, Warden Road, Fort, Bombay.

Amount of cheque Rs. 2500-0-0 (Rupees Two Thousand and five hundred only)

On the Imperial Bank of India, ~~Bangalore~~, Bombay.

On account of Bill No. Nil dated the Nil 193.., for ~~supplies made~~ rendering to the ~~to~~ an advice on certain matters relating to the final balances of the ~~ex~~ pensioners with the Govt of India.

Stamped/~~Unstamped~~ receipt is required to be sent *quoting the number at the top.*

for Comptroller.

CHIEF SECRETARY'S
30 JUNE 1930

The chief Secretary
to Government
Bangalore

WD 02046—GPB—15 books of 100 pages each—11-3-30.

9 Do No 96 23/7 to Mr Manu Subedar
a cheque for
Informs him that the sum was sent
by the Comptroller in his favour.
Copy to Compt No 77 d 3-7 — reference
Forwards the stamped receipt

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

B 3/7

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

No. A.I.772. *Bangalore, dated* 28th June *1930.*

C.S.4486

From

S. SHAMANNA, Esq., B.A.,
Comptroller to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

C.S. 17-29-6

To

N. Madhava Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to Government Order No.4649/C.S.17-29-6 dated 15th June 1930 according sanction to the payment of a sum of Rs.2,500/- to Mr.Manu Subedar of Bombay for payment of his fees for tendering expert advice on certain matters relating to the fiscal relations of the Mysore Government with the Government of India, I have the honour to state that the amount has been paid and provisionally debited to 12 General Administration ~~to~~ Civil Secretariat contingencies and to request the favour of being informed of the Sub head ~~of~~ sub heads in which savings are expected as the anticipated savings under establishment have been reappropriated to contingencies Vide No.0.3277/0.S. 37-29-5 dated 19/20th March 1930, and the revised grant under contingencies has also been already almost exhausted. The particular detailed head &c., to which the above payment has to be debited may also be kindly intimated as the service referred to is of a new kind not contemplated or provided for in the year's budget. As the amount now sanctioned is stated to be only a part of the amount intended to be paid, the exact amount of further liability involved in this matter may also be kindly intimated if there is no objection.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

S. Shamanna
Comptroller.
P.N.R

76/ C.S. 17-29-9

D 7/3/30

My dear Manu Subedar,

With reference to your D.O. letter dated 27th June 1930, I write to state that a chequ for the amount of Rs. 2,500/- was issued in your favour by the Comptroller on the Imperial Bank of India Bombay, on 27th June 1930, and that your receipt for this amount has been sent to the Comptroller [redacted].

Yours sincerely,

77/C.S Encl D 7/3/30

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Comptroller with reference to his letter No. I. 1453 dated 27th June 1930. A stamped receipt for the amount sent by Mr. Manu Subedar is enclosed.

By Order,

Chief Secretary to Govt.

853 / CS 17-29-10
dt 15-7-30

To

The Comptroller to the
Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Signed by me DCS

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. A. I. 772 dated the 28th June 1930, I am directed to state that the sum of Rs. 2500 paid to Mr. Manu Subedar may be debited to "12 General Administration, 4 Civil Secretariats, Contingencies". Sufficient savings to meet this charge are expected under the Sub-head "4 Civil Secretariats-Salaries".

I am to add that under present arrangements, a further sum of Rs. 2,500 is payable to Mr. Manu Subedar, besides his incidental travelling expenses in the event of a second visit.

I have etc.,

Chief Secretary.